

No.. 8/18/21-22/Admin SECRETARIAT PROVINCIAL OMBUDSMAN (MOHTASIB) 1/94 SINDH

Shahrah-e-Kamal Ataturk, Opp Sindh Secretariat, Karachi.

Karnchi, dated the 25/04/2022

Mr. Zeeshan Ovaisi, Senior Computer Operator, Computer Section, Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman, Sindh, Karachi.

Subject:

TO UPLOAD THE AWARD OF CONTRACT OF PROCUREMENT OF FURNITURE & FIXTURE ITEMS, AS PER RULE-50 OF SPP RULES-2010 (AMENDED-2019).

In pursuance of Rule-50 of SPP Rules-2010 (Amended-2019) you are directed to up load the Contract Evaluation Form of SPPRA duly filled, alongwith following documents on the Website of SPPRA Government of Sindh, Karachi, as well as on website of Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman, Sindh with consultation of SPPRA immediately against SPPRA ID No.T00698-21-0001 & Report ID No. BE00698-21-0001-1.

- 1. Evaluation Report (Photocopy).
- 2. Award of Contracts (Photocopy).
- 3. Schedule of Requirement (Photocopy).
- 4. Agreements (Photocopies)
- 5. Integrity Pacts (Photocopies)

Encl: as above:

(SYED FARRUKH HABIB) DIRECTOR GENERAL-I/

CHAIRMAN, PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	Secretanat Provincial Ombudsman (Mohtasib), Sindh, Karachi
2)	PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER	Provincial
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT	Award of Contract for Furniture & Fixture
4)	TENDER NUMBER	SPPRA ID T00698-21-0001
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	As mentioned at S,No.3
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	Hon'ble Ombudsman, Sindh.
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	Rs.1.612 Million
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PE	ER CONTRACT) 30 Days
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	14-02-2022 at 2.30 p.m.
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)) 03 Nos.
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	D3 Nos.
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE T	TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos.
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)	BER to Procurement Furniture & Fixture
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFU	UL BIDDER M/S. Decent & M/S. H.U. Engineering , Kyc.
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	Rs.679,4032+ Rs.226.492/- (3e/a): Rs.905,892/-)
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EV (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3nd EVALUATION BID).	ALUATION REPORT M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karchi (1st Lowest)
		MS. H.U.Engineering Services, Karachi. (1st Lowest)
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tie	d one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE	PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE	PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDUR	E
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BII	DDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.

EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

(

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes	19)	APP	COVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRA	ACT_	Hon'ble Ombudsman Sindh
ii) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes Daily Dawn, Daily Jang, Daily Kawlish (25-01-2022) No 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER OF COMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes V No 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBPAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes V No 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes V No N/A 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	20)	WHE	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN	N AN	
ii) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) No iii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes Daily Dawn, Daily Jang, Daily Kawish (25-01-2022) No 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attack open of the bid evaluation report)	21)	ADV	ERTISEMENT:		
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes Daily Dawn, Daily Jang, Daily Kawish (25-01-2022) No 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attack open of the bid evaluation report)		i)		Yes	
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)			(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	No	
22) NATURE OF CONTRACT 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBPAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)		ii)		Yes	
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attack copy of the bid evaluation report)			10	No	1
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	22)	NAT	URE OF CONTRACT		Demonst V Int.
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes No 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	23)	WAS	INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN	TS?	Yes / No
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING2 Yes No No WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No No N/A WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? Yes No N/A Yes No N/A Yes No N/A 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? Yes No N/A 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No N/A 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	24)	WAS	INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN	TS?	Yes V No
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	25)	WHE	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIZ HOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDD	Y WA	
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No N/A COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	26)	WAS	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BI	DDER	RS? Yes V No
COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	27)				UATED Yes No N/A
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes V No 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	28)			IICAL	LLY Yes No No N/A
CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	29)			QUOT	
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	30)			BIDD	DERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
			A compared to the total and to the compared		Yes V No

SANTRACT EVALUA	TICANTURM	
31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No 🗸	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVE	EN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCU	MENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No /	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIM (If yes, give reasons)	Yes Yes	
	No 🗸	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
	No /	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGE BLACK LISTED? 36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF (If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No AL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DET.	TO THE
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYM Yes No	MENT IN
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes Mentioned in Tender Docum	ents
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer	No	100 mg 200 mg 200 mg 200 mg
OR OFFICE USE ONLY Syed Farrukh Habi Director General Secretariat Provincial Ombuds (Mohtasib) Sindh, Kara	usm	

<u>SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi</u> Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset

No. 8/18/21-22/Adam



SECRETARIAT PROVINCIAL OMBUDSMAN (MOHTASIB) SINDH

Shahrah-e-Kamal Ataturk, Opp Sindh Secretariat, Karachi.

Kamchi.	Acres	48			
Kantent.	gateo	Inc			

FURNITURE & FIXTURE ITEMS

LIST OF BUYRES

- 01. M/s. Shahzaib Trading Company, Karachi.
- 02. M/s. Decent Trading Company, Karachi.
- 03. M/S. H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi.

No. 8/18/21-22/Admin



SECRETARIAT PROVINCIAL OMBUDSMAN (MOHTASIB) SINDH

Shahrah-e-Kamal Ataturk, Opp Sindh Secretariat, Kamehi.

	Karachi, dated the
NIT No. 8/18/21-22-Admn / S Daily Dawn, Daily Jang & D	SPPRA ID. No.T00698-21-0001 and Published in three newspapers aily Kawaish.
Method and procedure of proc	urement: Open Competitive Bidding (Single stage- One envelope)
Description of Work:	Procurement of Furniture & Fixture

Date of Opening: 14-02-2022

BIDDERS ELIGIBILITY / QUALIFICATION CRITERIA REPORT

Bidders Name ELIGIBILITY/QAULIFICATION CRITERIA M/S.Shahzaib M/S.Decent M/S. H.U. Trading Co., Trading Co., Engineering Karachi Karachi Services, Karachi. Bidder should be a Pakistani entity. Yes Yes Yes Having local presence in Sindh Province. Yes Yes Yes Firm comply with specification mentioned in Complied Complied Complied bidding documents. 4. Bid should be accompanied with client list. Attached Attached Attached Qualification Criteria: 5. Bidder should strictly compliant with technical Complied Complied Complied specifications; no optional item will be accepted. 6. Technical Evaluation will be made on the basis of technical specifications of Goods. The bidder must have at least 3 years of experience Attached Attached in the relevant field. Attach copy of last year's Supply Orders. 8. Income Tax Certificate (NTN). Attached Attached Attached GST Registration Certificate Attached Attached Attached 10. Bidder must have Annual Financial Turn Over Attached Attached Attached amounting to Rs.1.00 million of at least last 03 years (attach copy of bank statement). 11. Affidavit that bidder/firm is not blacklisted in any Attached Attached Attached Government/Autonomous Organization. 12. Profile of company mentioning therein list Attached Attached Attached registration year, postal/website address, telephone and Fax No. etc. Form-V: Items are new and free from defects. Form-IX: Integrity Pact 13 Attached Attached Attached 14 Attached

Qualified

(AHMED ALI PATHAN)

Section Officer

Information Science & Technology Department Government of Sindh, Karachi Member, Procurement Committee

(OZAIR AHMED SIDDIQUI)

Assistant Director (Admn)

Qualified/ Not Qualified

Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman, Sindh Member, Procurement Committee (QAZPABID AL)
Section Officer (Blidget)
Industries Department
Government of Sindh, Karachi
Member, Procurement Committee

Qualified

(MUHAMMAD NOOR ALAM)

Assistant Director (Store)

Qualified

Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman, Sindh Member/Secretary, Procurement Committee

(SYED FARRUKH HABIB)

Director General-I

Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman, Sindh Chairman, Procurement Committee

LOOK





No. 8/18/2021-22-Adem

PROVINCIAL OMBUDSMAN (MOHTASIB) SINDH

Shahrah-e-Kamal Ataturk, Opp Sindh Secretariat, Kamehi.

	Karnchi, dated the		
	BID EVALUATION REPORT(RULE-45)		
L	Name of Procuring Agency: Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman (N (Headquarter)	Mohtasib) Sindh Karachi	
2.	Tender Reference No.: 8/18/21-22/Admn.		
3.	Tender Description/Name of work/Item: Procurement of Furniture	& Fixture	
4.	Method of Procurement: Single Stage-one Envelope Proce		
5.	Tender Published: Daily Dawn, Daily Jang, Daily Kawaish & SPPRA LD. No.T00698-21-0001 Dated 25-01-2022.	PANCOKS	
6.	Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.		
7.	Total Bid Received: 03 Nos.		
8.	Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A		
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): N/A.		
10.	Bid(s) Rejected: Nil		
11.	Financial Bid Opening date: 14-02-2022		
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:		
	Subject: FVALUATION/DANKING ROCEPHON OF CU-		

ITEM No- 01. COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR OFFICER TABLE WITH SIDE RACK.

OF PROCUREMENT FURNITURE & FIXTURE ITEMS.

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	RATE QUOTED FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.36,000/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co, Karachi.	Rs.44,140/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3.	M/S. H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi	Rs.55,986/-	3 RD Highest Rank Bid

Think the good

For

Page 1 of 5

ITEM No- 02. COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR REVOLVING CHAIR.

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	RATE QUOTED FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.11,500/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S. H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi.	Rs.14,786/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.21,210/-	3RD Highest Rank Bid

ITEM No-03. COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR VISITOR CHAIR.

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.3,900/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S. H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi.	Rs.5,886/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.5,910/-	3 RD Highest Rank Bid

ITEM No-04 (a). COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR FILE CABINET (STEEL)

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi	Rs.21,000/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S.H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi.	Rs.28,186/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.29,440/-	3 RD Highest Rank Bid

ITEM No-04 (b). COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR WOOEN WALL CABINET

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.17,500/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs19,220/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3	M/S.H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi.	Rs.30,586/-	3 RD Highest Rank Bid

Page 2 of 5

Joseph Dong

ITEM No-04 (c) COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR RACK STEEL.

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S.H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi.	Rs.14,586/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.15,500/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.18,810/-	3 RD Highest Rank Bid

ITEM No-05. COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR STEEL ALMIRAH.

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi	Rs.27,000/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.29,910/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3	M/S.H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi	Rs.43,586/-	3 RD Highest Rank Bid

ITEM No-06. COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR PLASTIC CHAIR 04 SEATER BENCH.

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S.H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi	Rs.9,386/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost"
	NAME OF BUILDING PIRM	FOR EACH	as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.14,400/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi	Rs.15,500/-	3 RD Highest Rank Bid

ITEM No-07. COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR STAFF TABLE SIZE 4'X29"X2½' .

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S.H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi	Rs.9,486/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi	Rs.9,800/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.14,240/-	3 RD Highest Rank Bid

King X

Ann X

Twee

Page 3 of 5

ITEM No-08. COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR STAFF TABLE SIZE 3 1/2 X29" X21/2".

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	RATE QUOTED FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S.H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi.	Rs.9,286/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least
	Shahuah Tadag Co. Washi	Rest, 1907	Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is
2.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi	Rs.9,500/-	APPROVED 2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi.	Rs.12,240/-	3 RD RANKING BIDDER

ITEM No-09. COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR STAFF CHAIR.

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	RATE QUOTED FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi	Rs.3,600/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S.H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi.	Rs.5,586/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi	Rs.5,810/-	3RD Highest Rank Bid

ITEM No- 10. COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR SIDE TABLE.

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	RATE QUOTED FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	Director Ger Provincial Organic	Rs.5,500/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi	Rs.5,710/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3.	M/S.H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi.	Rs.9,586/-	3 RD Highest Rank Bid

Linding Dans From

ITEM No-11. COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR 03 SEATER SOFA (2+1).

S.#.	NAME OF BIDDING FIRM	FOR EACH	REMARKS
1.	M/S. Decent Trading Co., Karachi	Rs.34,500/-	1 ST Highest Rank Bid on the basis of "Least Cost" as per Rule-2(x) of SPP Rules-2010 (Updated) is APPROVED
2.	M/S.H.U. Engineering Services, Karachi.	Rs.35,586/-	2 ND Highest Rank Bid
3.	M/S. Shahzaib Trading Co., Karachi	Rs.41,850/-	3 RD Highest Rank Bid

(AHMED ALI PATHAN)

Section Officer Information Science & Technology Department Government of Sindh, Karachi Member, PC

(OZAIR AHMED SIDDIQUI)

Assistant Director (Admn) Provincial Ombudsman, Sindh

Member, PC

Section Officer (Budget) Industries Department

Government of Sindh, Karachi

Member, PC

(MUHAMMAD NOOR ALAM)

Assistant Director (Store) Provincial Ombudsman, Sindh Member/Secretary, PC

(SYED FARRUKH HABIB)

Director General-I Provincial Ombudsman Sindh

Chairman, PC

Through D/2



No. 8/ 18/21-22/Adm

PROVINCIAL OMBUDSMAN (MOHTASIB) 9787

Shahrah-e-Kamal Ataturk, Opp Sindh Secretariat, Karachi.

Karachi, dated the 20/64/2022

M/S. Decent Trading Co, Showroom No.10, K.M.C. Furniture Market, M.T.Khan Road, Karachi.

Subject:

Award of Contract/Supply Order for Furniture & Fixture Items.

This is with reference to your bid/quotation No. Nil dated 14-02-22 and subsequent acceptance letter No.8/18/2021-22 dated 29-03-2022 received on 29-03-2022 with 10% Performance Guarantee of the contract, in shape of Pay Order No.07425038 dated 01-04-2022 of Rs.67,940/- of NBP, in favour of DDO Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman (Mohtasib) Sindh, Karachi, Agreement on Stamp paper & Integrity Pact.

Your above bid (after completion formalities) has finally been approved by the Competent Authority for supply of the following Furniture & Fixture items, in accordance with the terms and conditions mentioned in the Bidding Documents subject to verification/inspection by the Departmental Inspection Committee:-

S.No.	NO.	DESCRIPTION OF STORE/SPECIFICATION	QUANTITY REQUIRED	APPROVED RATE PER UNIT	TOTAL VALUE IN FIGURE
01.	01	Officer Table with side Rack Size 6' X 3' X 2 ½' with side rack size 4' X 16" X 26"(Height) having	01 No.	Rs.36,000/- each	Rs.36,000/-
		computer facility (size of Key Board tray 24"), mobile drawers with lock made with partal wood best quality lacquer polish alongwith tinted table glass 5mm Brown colour of standard quality (As per Bidding Documents).	(a No.	20.77.002-003	Rs.216,000
02.	02.	Revolving Chair High back, standard size Revolving chair, back care design, artificial leather, master Molty foam in seat at least 3" and back 2 ½" to 3" thickness	04 Nos.	Rs.11,500/- each	Rs.46,000/-
	30.	imported iron/steel base having round solid moving wheels with imported hydraulic system with iron arms cover with foam & leather. Colour of artificial leather should be matched with the table of concerned Officer. (As per Bidding Documents)		Pas Salk cash	fix 16.500.

Jakan Jakan

03.	03.	Visitor Chairs Wooden visitor chair of standard size, base Height 18.2"sheesham wood with arms and lacquer polish full cushion master molty foam in back 3" thickness and seat 3" thickness minimum and artificial best quality leather. However measurement of height of said chairs may be taken for matching with the table of concerned officer before submission their tender documents. Colour of artificial leather should be matched with the table of concerned Officer. (As per Bidding Documents).	12 Nos.	Rs.3,900/- each	Rs.46,800/-
04.	04 (a).	File Cabinet (Steel) Steel File Cabinet in 20 gauge iron 04 easy moving drawers, grey colour and best quality Key lock. (As per Bidding Documents).	05 Nos.	Rs.21,000/- each	Rs.105,000/-
05.	04(b).	Wooden Wall Cabinet for Consultant-F. Wooden Wall Cabinet Sizes 9 ½′ x 2′ x 1′-5″ Both Side Laminated Wood Lasani Brand (best quality), thickness ¾″ Golden polish colour, having two shelves, appropriate Doors with Key lock & magnetic lock with steel L- bracket after two feet to support it. (As per Bidding Documents).	01 No.	Rs.17,500/- each	Rs.17,500/-
06.	05.	Steel Almirah. Steel Almirah in 20 guage iron size 6' X 45"X 18" Double Door, inside 05 shelve, grey colour and best quality key	08 Nos.	Rs.27,000/- each	Rs.216,000/-
07.	09.	lock (As per Bidding Documents) Staff Chair. Standard size with arms Solid Shesham wood frame, and lacquer polish cushion foam seat in 2.5" thickness and back in 2.5" thickness. (As per Bidding Documents)	16 Nos.	Rs.3,600/- each	Rs.57,600/-
08.	10.	Side Table. (As per Bidding Documents)	03 Nos.	Rs.5,500/- each	Rs.16,500/-

(969)

09.	11.	03 Seater Sofa (2+1).	04 Nos.	Rs.34,500/- each	Rs.138,000/-
		Standard Size Frame should be of wood Inner frame work will be of new partal wood. Master Molty Foam will be used in seat (at least 6") back 4", arms & wooden decent base in the middle of Sofa. Its colour may be matched with the office table of concerned officers. (As per Bidding Documents)	E Abrick, C	OSMAN (CONTROL OF AND	HOHTASI HAZI Kand
				TOTAL:	Rs.679,400/-

(Rupees six hundred seventy nine thousand four hundred only)

Terms & Conditions

Delivery (a) Within 30 days at Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman (Mohtasib)
 Sindh, Karachi during office hours.

(b) Delivery of Furniture items is required to be made at top Floor of this Secretariat through professional Labors via stairs.

ii. Consignee: Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman (Mohtasib) Sindh, Karachi.

iii. Inspection:- To be carried out by the Departmental Inspection Committee.

You/Your representative must be available at the time of Inspection.

so that you may be get inspected your supplied Goods from DIC.

 Payment:- Payment will be processed for Accountant General Sindh, Karachi on submission of bill and completion of codal formalities.

You are advised to please ensure supply of the above referred items as per Samples/Specifications mentioned in the Bidding Documents, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this letter without fail.

(SYED FARRUKH HABIB)
DIRECTOR GENERAL-I/CHAIRMAN
PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE

Jan 2 2 14/23

ISSUED



No.. 8/18/21-22/Admin ARIAT SMAN (MOHTASIB) 9784

PROVINCIAL OMBUDSMAN (MOHTASIB) SINDH

Shahrah-e-Kamal Ataturk, Opp Sindh Secretariat, Karachi.

Karachi, dated the 20/04/2022

M/S. H.U. Engineering Services, M-8, Falak Naz Pride, Main Shahrah-e-Faisal, Opp. Airport Police Station, Karachi.

Subject:

Award of Contract/Supply Order for Furniture & Fixture Items.

This is with reference to your bid/quotation No.HU-1320/A-OMB/8/18 dated 14-02-2022 and subsequent acceptance letter No.8/18/2021-22 dated 29-03-2022 received on 29-03-2022 with 10% Performance Guarantee of the contract, in shape of Pay Order No. BBB 13704193 dated 31-03-2022 of Rs.25,000/- of Allied Bank, in favour of DDO Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman (Mohtasib) Sindh, Karachi, Agreement on Stamp paper and Integrity Pact.

Your above bid (after completion formalities) has finally been approved by the Competent Authority for supply of the following Furniture & Fixture items, in accordance with the terms and conditions mentioned in the Bidding Documents subject to verification/inspection by the Departmental Inspection Committee:-

S.NO.	ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION OF STORE/SPECIFICATION	QUANTITY REQUIRED	APPROVED RATE PER UNIT	TOTAL VALUE IN FIGURE
01.	04(c)	Rack (Steel) for Complaints Section. Size 4' X 4'X 18" having 03 shelve in iron 20 guage (for shelf) 14 guage for angel grey colour (As per Bidding Documents)	04 Nos.	Rs.14,586/- each	Rs.58,344/-
02.	06.	PLASTIC CHAIR 04 SEATER BENCH (As per Bidding Documents).	02 Nos.	Rs.9,386/- each	Rs.18,772/-
03.	07.	STAFF TABLE. Size 4' X 29" X 2%' full oak lamination Formica wooden lipping having 03 easy moving (fixed) drawers with lock of best quality. (As Per Bidding Documents)	04 Nos.	Rs.9,486/- each	Rs.37,944/-
04.	08	STAFF TABLE. Size 3½' X 29" X 2 ½' full oak lamination Formica wooden lipping having 03 easy moving (fixed) drawers with lock of best quality. (As per Bidding Documents)	12 Nos.	Rs.9,286/- each	Rs.111,432/-
77-76				TOTAL:	Rs.226,492/-

(Rupees two hundred twenty six thousand four hundred ninety two only)

- John College

Terms & Conditions

- i. Delivery
- (a) Within 30 days at Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman (Mohtasib) Sindh, Karachi during office hours.
- (b) Delivery of Furniture items is required to be made at top Floor of this Secretariat through Professional Labors via stairs.
- ii. Consignee:

Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman (Mohtasib) Sindh, Karachi.

iii. Inspection:-

To be carried out by the Departmental Inspection Committee. You/ Your representative must be available at the time of Inspection, so that, you may be get inspected your supplied Goods from DIC.

iv. Payment:-

Payment will be processed for Accountant General Sindh, Karachi on submission of bill and completion of codal formalities.

You are advised to please ensure supply of the above referred items as per Samples/Specifications mentioned in the Bidding Documents, within 30 days from the date of receipt of this letter without fail.

le Di

(SYED FARRUKH HABIB)
DIRECTOR GENERAL-I/CHAIRMAN
PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE

ISSUED

No. 8/18/21-22/Aimr



SECRETARIAT PROVINCIAL OMBUDSMAN (MOHTASIB) SINDH

Shahrah-e-Kamal Ataturk, Opp Sindh Secretariat, Karachi.

Kamchi, da	ted the	

SCHEDULE OF REQUIREMENTS OF FURNITURE & FIXTURE

1. M/S. DECENT TRADING COMPNAY, KARACHI (SUCCESSFUL BIDDER)

S.NO.	NAME OF ITEMS	QUANTITY ORDERED	PERIOD OF SUPPLY
01.	Officer Table with side Rack	01 No.	30 days from 20-04-2022
02.	Revolving Chair	04 Nos.	30 days from 20-04-2022
03.	Visitor Chairs	12 Nos.	30 days from 20-04-2022
04.	File Cabinet (Steel)	05 Nos.	30 days from 20-Q4-2022
05.	Wooden Wall Cabinet For Consultant-F	01 No.	30 days from 20-04-2022
06.	Steel Almirah	08 Nos.	30 days from 20-04-2022
07.	Staff Chair	16 Nos.	30 days from 20-04-2022
08.	Side Table	03 Nos.	30 days from 20-04-2022
09.	03 seater Sofa (2+1)	04 Nos.	30 days from 20-04-2022

2. M/S. H.U. ENGINEERING SERVICES, KARACHI (SUCCESSFUL BIDDER)

S.NO.	NAME OF ITEMS	QUANTITY ORDERED	PERIOD OF SUPPLY
01.	Rack (Steel) for Complaints Section	04 Nos.	30 days from 20-04-2022
02.	Plastic Chair 04 Seater Bench	02 Nos.	30 days from 20-04-2022

03. Staff Table 04 Nos. 30 days from 20-04-2022 (Size 4' X 29" X 2½')

04. Staff Table 12 Nos. 30 days from 20-04-2022 (Size 3½' X 29" X 2½')

(SYED FARRUKH HABIB)
DIRECTOR GENERAL-I/
CHAIRMAN PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE



GHULAM SADIO STAMP VENDOR Dicence 4 79, Shop # 113, New Ruby Centre,

Contract Form

Talpur Road, Boulton s. No..... 113725 Market, Karashi BATE.

THROUGH BE AN ANY THROUGH AN ANY THROUGH IN response of Acceptance Letter to POS, Headquarter)

PHREDEL day of_ was stills and FMEN and one control day of 2022 between secretariat Provincial Charles of Supplier of the Procuring agency") of the one part and [name of supplier] of the Supplier of the Other part:

Visit of the Supplier of the Supplier of the Supplier of the Other part:

WHEREAS the Procuring agency Invited bids for certain goods and ancillary services, viz, Procurement of Hardware Assets for 2021-22, Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman (Mohtasib) Sindh, Karachi has accepted a bid by the Supplier for the supply of those goods and services in the sum of [contract price in words and figures] (hereinafter called "the Contract Price")

NOW THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETH AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. In this agreement words and expressions shall have the same meanings as a respectively assigned to them in the Conditions of Contract referred to:
- The following documents shall be deemed to form and be read and construed as part of this Agreement, viz:
 - a) The Bid Form and the Price Schedule submitted by the Bidder,
 - b) The Schedule of Requirements; The Technical Specifications.
 - c) The technical Specification
 - d) The General Conditions of Contract;
 - e) The Special Conditions of Contract; and
 - f) The Procuring agency's Notification of Award.
- 3. In consideration of the payments to be made by the Procuring agency to the Supplier as hereinafter mentioned, the Supplier hereby covenants with the Procuring agency to provide the goods and services and to remedy defects therein in conformity in all respects with the provisions of the Contract.
- 4. The payment shall be made by Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman (Mohtasib), Sindh, Karachi, to the Supplier, in consideration of the provision of the goods and services and the remedying of defects therein, subject to satisfactorily inspection as per specifications mentioned in the bid documents, under the provisions of the contract and in the manner prescribed by the contract and fulfillment all codal formalities, in accordance with SPP Rules-2010 (Updated).
- 5. IN WITNESS whereof the parties hereto have caused this agreement to be executed in accordance with their respective laws the day and year first above written

(for the Procuring agency) Signed, sealed, delivered by

Signed, sealed, delivered by

Syed Farrukh Habib Director General Secretariat Provincial Ombodemas Wohtsill Sindh, Karechi 0 6 AFR 2022





CITY COURT, KARACHI 3. wasshat Al. CNICHEG No ... Vode D.S.R. No 11 DT 30-3-22 DT 30-3-22 On behalf of Challan No. 63 for the purpose of _____ 30

3 0 MAR 2022

Rs. One Thousand Only

only) (hereinafter called "the Contract Price").



DI ZCONTRACT AGREEMENT

30	Ombudsman (Mohinsib), Singh Karachi (hereinafter called "the Procuring Agency") of the one part and of
	the other part:
	WHEREAS the Procuring agency invited bids for certain goods and ancillary services, viz. Procurement of Furniture & Fixture for 2021-22, Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman (Mohtasib) Sindh, Karachi has accepted a bid by the Supplier for the supply of those goods and services in the sum of Rs/-

NOW THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETH AS FOLLOWS:

- In this agreement words and expression shall have the same meanings as are respectively assigned to them in the Conditions of Contract referred to:
- 2. The following documents shall be deemed to form and be read and construed as part of this Agreement.
 - a. The Bid Form and the Price Schedule submitted by the Bidder.
 - b. The Schedule of Requirements.
 - c. The Technical Specification.
 - d. The General Condition of Contract.
 - e. The Special Conditions of Contract and
 - f. The Procuring Agency's Notification of Award

In consideration of the payments to be made by the Procuring agency to the Supplier as hereinafter mentioned, the Supplier hereby covenants with the Procuring agency to provide the goods and services to remedy defects therein conformity in all respects with the provisions of the Contract. The payment shall be made Secretariat Provincial Ombudsman (Mohtasib), Sindh, Karachi to the Supplier, in consideration of the provision of the goods and services and the remedying of defects therein, subject to satisfactorily inspection as per specifications mentioned in the bid documents, under the provisions of the contract and in the manner prescribed by the contract and fulfillment all codal formalities, in accordance with SPP Rules-2010 (Updated).

IN WITNESS whereof the parties hereto have caused this agreement to be executed in accordance with their respective laws the day and year first above written

Gerukh Hagthe Procuring agency) Signed, sealed, delivered by Director General Secretarist Provincial Ombedanas (Mohtasibi Sindh, Kafferblie Supplier) Signed, sealed, delivered by

(Rupees



GHULAN SAULO STANP VENDOR Licence 8 79, Shop # 113, New Ruby Centre, Taipur Road, Boulton s. no. 1 Market, Karachi ASSUED TO WITH ADDRESS MR. STATE HAMPER ALI RIZVI THEOLOGY WITH ADDRESS MR. SAYSEATE HEJ1245 PURPOSE DETERMINED MR. DECLARATION OF FE	13320 Integrity Pact	0 1 APR	The state of the s	ARACHI PAN	LONED ONL
FIGURE SEMESTRATE STATE OF THE SU	PPLIERS/CONTRAC	CTORS/CON	SULTANTS.		
Ander Not Reggenal Managers Beenments	Dated:				
Contract Value:					
Contract Title:					
procurement of any contract, right, interest, pr	hereby declares ivilege or other obligat	that it has not ion or benefit	obtained or ind	uced the ent of Sindh (Gos	S)

or any administrative subdivision or agency thereof or any other entity owned or controlled by it (GOS) through any corrupt business practice.

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing.

represents and warrants that it has fully declared the brokerage, commission, fees etc. paid or payable to anyone and not given or agreed to give and shall not give or agree to give to anyone within or outside Pakistan either directly or indirectly through any natural or juridical person, including its affiliate agent, associate, broker, consultant, director, promoter, shareholder, sponsor or subsidian any commission, gratification, bribe, finder's fee or kickback, whether described accumulation fee or otherwise, with the object of obtaining or inducing the procurement of a contract, right interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit, in whatsoever form, from Procuring Agency (PA) except that which has been expressly declared pursuant hereto.

agreements and arrangements with a person in respect of or related to the transaction with PA and has not taken any action or will tot mike any action to circumvent the above declaration, representation or warranty.

false declaration, not making full disclosure, misrepresenting facts or taking any action likely to defeat the purpose of this declaration, representation and warranty. It agrees that any contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation of benefit obtained or procured as aforesaid shall, without prejudice to any other right and remedies available to PA under any law, contract or other instrument, be voidable at the option of PA.

Notwithstanding any rights and remedies exercised by PA in this regard,

DECENT_Concerns agrees to indemnify PA for any loss or damage incurred by it on account of its corrupt business practices and further pay compensation to PA in an amount equivalent to ten time the sum of any commission, gratification, bribe, finder's fee or kickback given by foresaid for the purpose of obtaining or inducing the procurement of any contract right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit, in whatsoever form from PA.

Syed Farrukh Habib

[Procuring Agency] Ombodseau - Mechaschi Sinob, Karach

ATTAZALI ALIAZADOSEN NOTARY PUBLIC KARACHI-PAKISTAN

[Supplier/Contractor/Consultant]

0 6 AFR 2022



SYED WAJID HUSSAIN SHAH STAMP VENDOR Licence No. 46, House-7/36, 5-A, Sarrafa Bazar, Papoeh Nagar, North Nazimabad, Karachi S. No.

138523

Rupees Hundred Only

ISOURD TO WITH ADDRESS CAME OF THE PROPERTY OF

ZAFAR ALAM Advocate High Court Ledger #7512 H.C/KHI

DECLARATION OF FEES COMMISSION AND BROKERAGE	ETC
PAYABLE BY THE SUPPLIERS/CONTRACTORS/CONSULTA	NT

Contract Number:	Dated:	
Contract Value:		
Contract Title:		

HU ENGINEERING SERVICES hereby declares that it has not obtained or induced the procurement of any contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit from Government of Sindh (GoS) or any administrative subdivision or agency thereof or any other entity owned or controlled by it (Gos) through any corrupt business practice.

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, HU ENGINEERING SERVICES represents and warrants that it has fully declared the brokerage, commission, fees etc. paid or payable to anyone and not given or agreed to give and shall not give or agree to give to anyone within or outside Pakistan neither directly or indirectly through any natural or juridical person, including its affiliate, agency, associate, broker, consultant, director, promoter, sharefielder, sponsor or subsiding, any commission, gratification, bribe, finder's fee or kickback, whether described asormalitation fee or otherwise, with the object of obtaining or inducing the procurement of a contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligations or benefit, in whatsoever form, from procuring Agency (PA) except that which has been expressly declared pursuant hereto.

HU ENGINEERING SERVICES certifies that he has made and will make full disclosures of all agreements and arrangements with all person in respect of or related to the transition with PA and has not taken any action or will not make any action circumvent the above declaration, representation or warranty.

HU ENGINEERING SERVICES accepts full responsibility and strict liability for making any false declaration, not making full disclosures, misrepresenting fact or taking any action likely to defect the purpose of this declaration, representation and warranty. It agrees that any contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit obtained or procured as aforesaid shall, without prejudice to any other right and remedies available to PA under any law, contract or other instrument, be voidable at the option of PA.

Notwithstanding any right and remedies exercised by PA in this regard, HU ENGINEERING SERVICES agree to indemnify PA for any loss or damage incurred by it on account of its corrupt business practices and further pay compensation to PA in an amount equivalent to ten time the sum of any commission, gratification, bribe, finder's fee or kickback given by HU ENGINEERING SERVICES as aforesaid for the purpose of obtaining or inducing the procurement of any contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit, in whatsoever form, from PA.

[Procuring Agenous of Farruch Habit Director General Servicible Studie, Kasheti

[Supplier/Contracton/Consultant]

